



**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

Document Scanning Lead Sheet

Sep-30-2008 12:31 pm

Case Number: CGC-08-479553

Filing Date: Sep-30-2008 12:29

Juke Box: 001 Image: 02267874

ORDER

GREEN CO., AN ILLINOIS CORPORATION VS. THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

001C02267874

Instructions:

Please place this sheet on top of the document to be scanned.

FILED
San Francisco County Superior Court

SEP 30 2008

GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk
BY: Marjorie Schwartz Scott
Deputy Clerk

1 DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669
City Attorney
2 WAYNE SNODGRASS, State Bar #148137
VINCE CHHABRIA, State Bar #208557
3 Deputy City Attorneys
City Hall, Room 234
4 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102
5 Telephone: (415) 554-4674
Facsimile: (415) 554-4699
6 E-Mail: vince.chhabria@sfgov.org

7
8 Attorneys for Defendants
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, ET AL.

9
10 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
12 UNLIMITED JURISDICTION

13 WALGREEN CO., an Illinois corporation,
14 Plaintiff,

15 vs.

16 THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
17 FRANCISCO; THE BOARD OF
18 SUPERVISORS FOR THE CITY AND
19 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO; and
GAVIN NEWSOM, in his capacity as
Mayor of the City and County of San
Francisco,

20 Defendants.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No. 479-553


~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER DENYING
PLAINTIFF WALGREEN CO'S
APPLICATION FOR A
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Hearing Date: September 30, 2008
Time: 9:30 a.m.
Place: Dept. 301

Date Action Filed: September 8, 2008

1 The Application for a Preliminary Injunction in the above-captioned matter came on for
2 hearing at 9:30 a.m. on September 30, 2008, in Department 301 of this Court, located at 400
3 McAllister Street, San Francisco, before the Honorable Peter J. Busch. Daniel M. Kolkey appeared
4 on behalf of Plaintiff Walgreen Co. ("Walgreens"). Vince Chhabria appeared on behalf of
5 defendant City and County of San Francisco, et al. Having considered the points and authorities
6 and supporting documents, and having heard argument of counsel, the Court orders that the
7 Application for a Preliminary Injunction is DENIED, because Walgreens has failed to show a
8 likelihood of success on the merits of its equal protection claim, or its claim that Ordinance No. 194-
9 08 is invalid for failure to comply with Proposition I.

10
11
12 Dated: 9/30/08

13
14 By: 
15 PETER J. BUSCH
16 SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE

17 case # 479 553
18 Walgreen vs CCSP
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28